Good Web design pays dividends

TECH ANALYSIS: ANALYZE TRAFFIC, POLL VISITORS AND LOOK AT RIVALS' SITES TO AVOID GAFFES

By Jim Rapoza

ORE AND MORE, A COMPANY'S WEB SITE IS ITS PRImary public face for customers, partners and clients. But eWEEK Labs' daily browsing experiences show that many businesses don't put enough work into the design and structure of their Web site. Web users can probably rattle off a list of sites they find unfriendly and difficult to use and navigate—as well as a list of sites that are intuitive and easy to browse and that, not

surprisingly, keep them coming back. All businesses, especially those that rely on Web visitors for profit, should do everything they can to make sure they are on that second list of user-friendly and welldesigned sites.

However, for large businesses, achieving good Web site design is easier said than done. Corporations, especially those that derive revenue from the Web, face many challenges that can interfere with good Web design. These can include the need to incorporate and work with older

Web-based content, which may be several site redesigns old; complications or restrictions caused by the Web CMS (content management system); and conflicting requirements from different departments in the company.

For sites that use Web ads, there's the added challenge of balancing the desires and demands of advertisers with the need to provide a friendly Web site for visitors.

None of these challenges

is insurmountable. With proper planning and management, site administrators can easily avoid the misplaced content, navigational dead ends and clutter that plague the worst Web sites.

A wealth of information

UNLESS YOU ARE A BRAND-NEW company, you already have a Web site in place. While this can cause headaches and limitations in redesigning the site, it can also provide a wealth of information when it comes to planning and researching potential design and usability



Can you read the content behind the ad? No one else can, either.

changes for the site.

The two most useful elements will be the site's traffic logs and its regular visitor base. Many administrators never go beyond the basic traffic numbers in Web site analysis, but site designers



If you have too many links, too close together, users can't find the links they need.

who look deeper will find valuable insights into how visitors use the links and content on a company's site.

A good first step is to use traffic path analysis tools to look for dead ends and infrequently used click-paths. Also, many current Web analysis tools provide page analysis that enables administrators to look at a Web page and see the traffic numbers for every

link on the page. If a link isn't getting clicked, it could mean users aren't interested in the content, but it could also mean they can't find the link or that it's poorly placed in the site. Check through all his-

torical logs to see if the linked content had more traffic in previous site designs.

In addition, if you're concerned about whether your current site design is working or if planned changes will work, go directly to the source and poll your site visitors. Simple giveaways are often enough to get visitors to fill out a Web-based poll form. However, we advise caution when designing this poll: Make sure the poll isn't leading visitors to the answers you want, instead of to the ones you need.

A good technique is to leverage the disagreements you may already have in-house.

If different site developers or groups are regularly at odds over site design decisions, have them collaborate on the questionnaire. It may take a little longer to create, but it will most likely be more balanced.

For new companies, research can be more difficult because they have no historical data or current users. For these sites we recommend resisting the urge to launch with a big, splashy site and to instead start with a simple, flexible design.

Administrators should constantly analyze usage and talk to visitors during the first few months, regularly tweaking the site design to maximize both usability and visitor traffic.

Probably the most common sources of good research and design ideas (although many site designers will never admit it) are competing and similar Web sites. A good site designer can learn from the techniques and designs of [CONTINUED ON PAGE 54]

eweeklabs

WEB DESIGN FROM PAGE 53

other Web sites without stealing their site design outright.

In the William Gibson book "All Tomorrow's Parties," a character remarks that the flashier a Web site is, the greater the chance that there's a dinky, nothing company behind it. Given the ease with which site developers can add multimedia, Flash and crawling ads, the temptation to go overboard is always there. But you wouldn't fill your company lobby with neon signs or televisions blaring commercials, and you wouldn't make it so cluttered that visitors couldn't find their way through it.

The case for KISS

WHEN IT COMES TO THE DESIGN and layout of a Web site, the ultimate purpose of the site for your business plays a key role. If your site is mainly informational and is essentially a repository for press releases and basic company data, we highly recommend basing site design on the KISS (Keep It Simple, Stupid) principle.

Stick with a standard left or top navigational bar and fairly sparse but clean content. This will make it easy for visitors to find information; keep it simple for almost anyone to add content to the site; and make it very adaptable to any future changes, either content- or structure-based.

The KISS philosophy is also a good way to go for sites that are selling products, be it software or physical wares. Every extra page, click, flashing ad or registration screen could be keeping a visitor from buying your products.

In these cases, you want to put as much information in the main product pages as possible. Every time you ask visitors to click deeper for extra information, you're increasing the chance that he or she will give up and go elsewhere. The layout challenges are much greater for sites that generate revenue from Webbased ads. On these sites, page views and unique visitors rule, and there's a constant battle between the needs of advertisers and those of site visitors. with advertisers to develop ads

that are dynamic enough for

their needs without intruding

on the site real estate that

Today, there is almost no

excuse for creating a site

that is viewable only in Micro-

soft Corp.'s Internet Explorer.

Nearly all Web authoring

tools are now heavily based

on Web standards, making

it much easier to build pages

using CSS (Cascading Style

Sheets), XHTML (Extensi-

ble HTML) and other key

Web standards that will be

viewable in all Web browsers.

CMSes and portals are based

on common technologies

such as Java, PHP and .Net,

making it easy to build cus-

tom templates and site lay-

outs and to move between

is the most effective tool in

good site design. Trust your

instincts and the comments

of your associates and users.

Unlike your physical offices,

nothing is set in concrete.

Don't be afraid to admit when

mistakes are made and to

quickly fix those mistakes. @

Labs Director Jim Rapoza can

be reached at jim_rapoza@

ziffdavis.com.

In the end, common sense

servers and systems.

On the server side, most

visitors are viewing.

Typical mistakes on these sites include link overcrowding, where there are so many links on pages that a visitor can easily miss content they're interested in; overzealous page breaking, where visitors are constantly viewing little snippets of content that should all be on a single page; and, of course, overthe-top advertising.

The first two problems can be fixed easily with good planning and research and a healthy dose of common sense. If a big advertiser wants to run an ad that crawls across the Web page or uses annoying pop-ups, it can be hard to say no. But the ramifications of such decisions can be very negative and longlasting for both the advertiser and the Web site. Recent studies have shown that when visitors are annoyed by an intrusive advertisement, they have negative views of both the site and the advertiser and often stop going to that site.

We recommend working

Designing for accessibility

A core segment of visitors simply wants Web site content to be accessible to them. The World Wide Web's Web Accessibility Initiative (www.w3.org/WAI) has created standards and guidelines for creating accessible sites. The forthcoming Web Content Accessibility Guidelines 2.0 sets forth several guidelines for creating accessible Web content:* Provide alternatives for all nontext content Ensure that information, functionality and structure are separable from presentation Make it easy to distinguish foreground information from background images or sounds Make all functionality operable via a keyboard interface

Organize content consistently from page to page

For the full guidelines list, go to www.w3.org/TR/WCAG20

Source. eweek Labs report

eweek corporate partners

eWEEK's Corporate Partner Advisory Board comprises IT decision makers from government, manufacturing, education, retail, publishing, financial, utility, medical, telecom and nonprofit sectors. The Corporate Partners represent eWEEK readers and work on an ongoing basis with eWEEK editors, reporters and Labs analysts.

ADVISORY BOARD MEMBERS

Sam Inks Aerojet-General Corp. Francine M. Siconolfi Aetna Inc. Jorge Abellas-Martin Arnold Worldwide Glenn Evans AT&T Kevin Levesque ATX II LLC Frank Calabrese Bose Corp. Kevin R. Baradet Cornell University Carl S. Ashkin Darby Group Cos. Craig L. Lush Defense Acquisition University Carol Knouse The Donna Karan Co. LLC Randy Dugger & Dugger & Associates Kevin Wilson Duke Energy Corp. Keith Carter Estee Lauder Cos. Ed Benincasa FN Manufacturing Inc. Tom Miller Foxhollow Technologies Inc Garv Gunnerson Gannett Co. Inc. David Milkovich Lockheed Martin Bill Conati Maax Spas Arizona Inc. Michael Skaff MD Beauty/Bare Escentuals Dr. Kathie Sigler Medical Center Campus of Miami Dade Community College Robert Rosen National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases Larry Shaw Nordstrom Inc. Erich Berman Northwestern Mutual Francis Rabuck Rabuck Associates Nelson H. Ramos Sutter Health Pankaj Chowdhry Third Pillar Michael Schwedhelm United Labor Bank Susan Nowicke U.S. District Court Bruce Brorson University of Minnesota, Crookston Judy Brown (also CP coordinator) University of Wisconsin System Gregory Smith World Wildlife Fund

54 EWEEK = FEBRUARY 28, 2005

Copyright of eWeek is the property of ZDNet and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.

Copyright of eWeek is the property of ZDNet and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.