1. What from Chapter 2 was confusing?
2. What was surprising?
3. Was it depressing? Encouraging?
4. From class last week, I *think* the class felt comfortable with the idea that being female is about “body parts” and being feminine is about “traits, behaviors, personality, and attitudes.” I think people also agreed that neither was necessary to “be a woman.” If not, we first need to correct this. But, if true, then? But, if so, the question then becomes – What *IS* necessary to be a woman?
5. Lippa argues that the history of the study of gender roles began with the view that masculine and feminine are opposites. Later, Sandra Bem argued that the two qualities can vary separately. Lippa’s own theory goes back to the first conceptualization. Are masculine and feminine” opposites like “hot” and “cold” OR are they separate dimensions like “tall” and “smart” so that one can be both (or neither) OR are they something in between – related, but not antithetical, like “wise” and “old?”
6. How about you? Are you *always* feminine? Do you ever act in a masculine way? If/when you do, does that lessen your femininity in some fundamental way?

Men are:

Women are: